

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,112	01/19/2001	Sang Mun Lee	8733.387.00	8135
30827 7.	590 12/19/2003		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			PARKER, KENNETH	
1900 K STREE WASHINGTO	ET, NW N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2871	
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$10^{\circ}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/764,112	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth A Parker	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover st	neet with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however by within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	June 2003 .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-fina	l.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			he merits is
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	nwn from consideratio	on.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-17</u> is/are allowed.	,		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 2,3-9 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	ent.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on			ner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		1.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ts have been receive	ed.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been receive	ed in Application No	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.:	2(a)).	l Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (Pher:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/764,112

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsubota et al 5629787 view of Nakamura et al 5835181 and Inoue et al 6285435, and Hida et al 5936695.

Tsubota et al shows everything excepting the liquid crystal remover, the sealer by UV (figure 15- the devices are conveyed at least in part from the filler to the by an elevator (an apparatus which moves the cells downwards) to the sealer. The remover was conventional for the benefit of cleaning as evidenced by Nakamura and Inoue (as recited below). Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill to employ a "liquid crystal remover" for the benefit of cleaning the cell.

Nakamura:

After the filling step, the pressures at the respective parts of the cell 1 are restored to the atmospheric pressure, the cell is cooled to room temperature, and an excessive liquid crystal attached to the first and second apertures is removed by wiping with a cloth or by washing with a solvent of, e.g., ketone-type, such as acetone or methyl ethyl ketone. Thereafter, the apertures are sealed with a sealing agent (e.g., epoxy resin) similar to the sealing agent 10.

And Inoue:

Art Unit: 2871

The primary causes of such bubbles 209 being left are: volume contraction of the polymer dispersed liquid crystals 207 solidifying concomitantly with phase separation of mixture 205; and an inability to coat seal resin 6 to the inside of recesses 209, which are formed on the surface of mixture 205 contacting seal resin 206 by bubbles 209 as shown by the imaginary line in FIG. 22, as a result of even mixture 205 inside injection opening 203a being wiped away when the area around injection opening 203a is cleaned by wiping with a cloth before applying seal resin 206.

UV light for sealing is also not taught by the primary reference but was well known as not requiring heating as evidenced by Hida et al (column 7 and 8, lines 25-40 each), and would have been obvious for that reason. Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill to employ a "sealer" for the benefit of sealing the cell without requiring heating.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim.

Claims 10-17 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/764,112

Art Unit: 2871

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kenneth A Parker whose telephone number is 703-305-6202.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular

communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0956.

Kenneth A Parker Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 2871

December 15, 2003